No. ID/RTK/50/83/18299.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Wazir Singh and the management of M/s Soman Pilkingtons Ltd., Kassar District Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E)-Lab/70/13648, dated the 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-1-Lab-70/32573, dated the 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Wazir Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/SPT/141/82/18306.— Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Charan Singh and the management of Haryana State Industrial Development Corporation Ltd., G. T. Road Kundli (Sonepat) regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO-(E)-Lab/70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Charan Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/STP/158-81/18316.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Kapil Dev and the management of the M/s Atlas Cycle Industries Ltd., Sonepat regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (l) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Kapil Dev was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/SPT/55/83/18323.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Suresh Kumar and the management of M/s Mahesh Textiles 41/4 Bahalgarh Road, Fazilpur, Sonepat regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (l) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Suresh Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/24/83/18330.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Wazir Parsad and the management of M/s Ram Lagan, Contractor, C/o Verdhman Spinning General Mills Ltd., (Rolling Mills Division), Plot No. 264/24, Faridabad. (ii) Verdhman Spinning and General Mill Ltd. (Rolling Division), Plot No. 264/24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of sub-section Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana. Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Wazir Parsad was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/29/83/18338.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Sher Singh and the management of M/s Eicher Goodearth Ltd., N.I.T., Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Sher Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/BHN/110-82/18345.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Satwan and the management of Haryana Roadways, Bhiwani regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573,

dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Satwan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 20th April, 1983

No. ID/GGN/44-83,18507.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen Shri Ram Saran Dass and the management of M/s Kegg Farms Khandsa, Jaipur Road, Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68-15254, dated 20th June, 1968 section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Saran Dass was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

V. S. CHAUDHRI,

Deputy Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour Department.